

City of Hyattsville Climate Action Plan Survey Survey Methodology and Topline Results

March 27, 2026

University of Maryland, Saul I. Stern Civic Engagement Lab



Field Dates: October 17-November 12, 2025

N = 431 households

Methodology in Brief

This survey was funded by the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission Prince George's County Planning Department in a partnership with the City of Hyattsville and the Saul I. Stern Civic Engagement Lab at the University of Maryland College Park. The goal of the survey was to gather information on resident opinions and experiences to help inform the City's Climate Action Plan. The survey was designed and implemented by Professor Shanna Pearson-Merkowitz, along with graduate students Damian Maffioletti and Sonia Vargas based on guidance from the City and consultants from Stantec. Data collection was performed by Pearson-Merkowitz, Maffioletti, Vargas, Oliver Slade, Breana Stevens and the approximately 60 students enrolled in PLCY306 ("Policy Analysis in Action", the School of Public Policy's undergraduate research methods course) for Fall 2025. The survey was collected from Friday, October 17, to Tuesday, November 12, 2025.

A mixed data collection method was used. Every accessible household was visited by a survey collector. If a resident answered the door they were asked to take the survey and offered an electronic tablet to do so. If no one answered, a door hanger was left with information about the survey and a QR code and weblink to follow to take the survey. If a resident answered but declined to take the survey in person, they were offered a door hanger in case they changed their mind or wished to take it later. Door hangers were also left with several apartment building main desks, given out at two city events including a town race and a diaper distribution, and the link was sent out over city listservs and the city's online communications. The survey and recruitment door hanger were provided in both English and Spanish. In total, 431 households took the survey with 144 households taking the survey on the tablet and 287 taking it via the QR code or weblink. Respondents were asked a total of 30 questions, with the option to opt-out of answering a question (or the rest of the survey) at any point. The door-knocking method greatly improved the response rate but also means the survey over sampled homeowners and under-sampled renters. This also led to an oversample of white residents. While there were sufficient responses for each subcategory to present results by subgroups, the authors urge caution when interpreting results from groups with smaller response rates. As a result of this oversample, the toplines below present both unweighted and weighted results for each question. The weights were constructed using comparisons with census demographic statistics, including

gender, age, income level, and race. The distribution of weights has a mean of 0.88, with a minimum of 0.15 and a maximum of 5. In general terms, the overrepresented groups were white, higher-income, older, and female respondents.

For each question in the survey, cross-tabulations have been provided for responses across Race (white/Non-white), Ethnicity (Hispanic/Non-Hispanic), Homeownership (Owner/Renter), and Age (Under 65/65 or Over).

List of Tables

1	Demographic Comparison between Census and Survey	4
2	Mean Impact of Weather-Related Events Across Subgroups	5
3	Percentage of respondents choosing the weather event with the most negative impact	5
4	Percentage of respondents selecting each impact.	6
5	Percentage of respondents selecting each impact by weather event with most impact	6
6	Limitations to participate in Recycling	7
7	Limitations to participate in Food Scrap Composting	7
8	Limitations to participate in Electronics Recycling	7
9	Limitations to participate in Yard Waste Disposal	8
10	Level of difficulty of transportation	8
11	Modes of transport	8
12	Incentives to drive less	9
13	Level of safety	9
14	Interest in Energy-efficient housing upgrades	10
15	Interest in Weather-resilient housing upgrades	10
16	City support for installing Insulation & weatherization	11
17	City support for installing Energy-efficient appliances/windows/doors	11
18	City support for installing Heat pumps	11
19	City support for installing Solar panels	11
20	City support for installing Rain gardens / stormwater management	12
21	City support for installing Hail resistant exteriors and roofing	12
22	Limitations to upgrade home	12
23	Knowledge of resilience hub location	13
24	Source of information in emergencies	13
25	Satisfaction level with city services	14
26	Difference between Satisfied and Unsatisfied Responses (All Respondents)	14
27	Budget allocation	15
28	Growth preference	15
29	Priorities for home and business building and renovation regulations	16
30	Perception of influence	16
31	Perception of contribution to climate change	16

Demographic Comparison – Census vs. Survey Respondents

	Census Population (%)	Survey Respondents (%)
Race		
American Indian and Alaska Native	1.3	1.9
Asian	6.2	4
Black/African-American	25.2	12
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0.3	0
Hispanic	40	16.8
White	28	71.3
Other	26.9	1.9
Two or more	12.1	16.2
Ethnicity		
Hispanic	40	16.8
Non-Hispanic	60	83.2
Gender		
Male	52.1	41.8
Female	47.9	57.1
Nonbinary/Other	n/a	1
Age		
18–24	7.4	2.8
25–34	29.2	19.3
35–49	29.8	37.4
50–64	20.8	22.4
≥65	12.8	18.1
Income		
Less than \$49,999	23.0	11.2
\$50,000–\$74,999	14.9	9.5
\$75,000–\$99,999	15.7	12.6
\$100,000–\$149,999	19.6	22.3
\$150,000 or more	26.7	44.4
Owner/Renter		
Owner	48.7	86.4
Tenant	51.3	13.6
Housing type		
Single family detached	39.7	80.0
Townhouse/rowhouse	9.6	9.4
Multifamily	50.7	10.6

Table 1: Demographic Comparison between Census and Survey

Climate impacts

Question 1: In the past 5 years, how much have you been impacted by each of the following weather-related events in Hyattsville?

Weather Event	All		Race		Ethnicity		Owner/Renter		Age	
	All	Wtd	W	NW	H	NH	O	R	<65	≥65
Extreme rain	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.3	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.4
Flooding	1.9	1.9	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.9	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.8
Drought / Water Shortages	2.0	1.8	2.1	1.7	1.6	2.0	2.1	1.6	1.9	2.4
Extreme Heat / Heat Waves	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.9
Extreme Cold / Winter Storms	2.0	2.2	1.8	2.3	2.2	2.0	1.9	2.2	2.0	1.8
Severe Wind	2.2	2.4	2.0	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.4	2.2	2.1
Hail	1.3	1.4	1.2	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.2

Note: Mean of responses, which go from "Not at all" (1) to "A lot" (4).

Table 2: Mean Impact of Weather-Related Events Across Subgroups

Question 2: Of these weather-related events, which one has negatively affected your life in Hyattsville the most?

Weather Event	All		Race		Ethnicity		Owner/Renter		Age	
	All	Wtd	W	NW	H	NH	O	R	<65	≥65
Extreme rain	14.3	16.3	14.0	15.2	17.8	13.8	15.5	12.7	14.8	12.5
Flooding	10.3	14.7	9.6	14.4	17.8	9.4	9.7	14.5	11.4	8.3
Extreme Heat / Heat Waves	28.0	25.4	31.2	22.4	26.7	28.2	27.8	27.3	27.7	27.8
Drought / Water Shortages	12.4	5.4	16.8	4.8	2.2	13.6	14.0	3.6	11.7	16.7
Extreme Cold / Winter Storms	4.9	7.9	2.4	7.2	6.7	4.7	4.0	7.3	4.9	2.8
Severe Wind	7.0	6.0	5.6	8.8	6.7	7.0	6.0	10.9	7.1	5.6
Hail	0.7	0.2	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.0
All have had similar impact	5.6	5.7	4.0	9.6	6.7	5.5	5.7	7.3	6.2	5.6
None have significantly impacted me	16.8	15.4	15.6	17.6	15.6	17.0	16.6	16.4	15.7	20.8

Note: Values are percentages.

Table 3: Percentage of respondents choosing the weather event with the most negative impact

Question 3: When weather-related events (such as flooding or extreme heat) affect you or your home, how are you personally impacted?

Impacts	All		Race		Ethnicity		Owner/Renter		Age	
	All	Wtd	W	NW	H	NH	O	R	<65	≥65
Physical health	28.1	26.8	26.4	27.0	24.4	28.5	27.2	34.5	26.4	30.6
Mental health	29.0	25.1	35.2	19.8	22.2	29.8	30.9	23.6	31.3	23.6
Cannot afford basic necessities	9.0	12.5	7.2	12.7	13.3	8.5	9.5	9.1	9.8	5.6
Miss work or school	11.4	12.9	10.0	14.3	6.7	11.9	10.6	12.7	13.5	1.4
Unsafe or insecure in my living situation	13.2	16.8	10.8	17.5	22.2	12.2	13.2	16.4	14.4	6.9
Social connections suffer	12.5	11.7	12.4	10.3	20.0	11.7	11.5	18.2	13.8	6.9
Other	23.0	19.3	29.6	17.5	26.7	22.5	26.1	12.7	23.6	29.2

Note: Values are percentages of affirmative answers, respondents can choose more than one option.

Table 4: Percentage of respondents selecting each impact.

Weather-related event	Physical	Mental	Basic needs	Miss work/school	Unsafe housing	Social ties	Other
Extreme rain	14.8	29.5	18.0	11.5	24.6	6.6	31.1
All have had similar impact	37.5	50.0	8.3	16.7	29.2	12.5	4.2
None have significantly impacted me	8.3	9.7	1.4	8.3	0.0	2.8	6.9
Flooding	25.0	27.3	15.9	25.0	29.5	11.4	29.5
Extreme heat / Heat waves	53.3	41.7	5.8	5.8	3.3	19.2	22.5
Drought / Water shortages	15.1	24.5	5.7	7.5	9.4	11.3	50.9
Extreme cold / Winter storms	23.8	14.3	14.3	33.3	19.0	14.3	14.3
Severe wind	30.0	30.0	16.7	6.7	26.7	20.0	13.3
Hail	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	33.3	66.7	0.0

Table 5: Percentage of respondents selecting each impact by weather event with most impact

Environmental services and transportation

Question 4: What limits your participation in the following activities in Hyattsville?

Recycling	All		Race		Ethnicity		Owner/Renter		Age	
	All	Wtd	W	NW	H	NH	O	R	<65	≥65
Not interested	3.0	4.9	0.8	5.6	8.9	2.3	2.0	5.5	3.1	0.0
Not enough information	8.1	8.4	5.6	11.1	4.4	8.5	6.9	12.7	9.2	1.4
Lack of time	4.4	6.7	2.4	9.5	2.2	4.7	4.0	7.3	5.2	1.4
Lack of resources	3.9	2.1	3.6	4.0	4.4	3.9	2.9	9.1	4.3	0.0
It is not available	2.6	3.2	2.0	4.8	11.1	1.6	2.3	5.5	3.1	1.4
Frequency of pickup/drop-off	7.0	9.7	4.8	11.1	8.9	6.7	6.9	7.3	8.0	1.4
No barriers	70.3	63.7	82.8	55.6	62.2	71.2	76.8	56.4	70.2	88.9
Other	3.9	8.1	2.8	7.1	8.9	3.4	3.4	9.1	4.3	2.8

Note: Values are percentages of affirmative answers, respondents can choose more than one option.

Table 6: Limitations to participate in Recycling

Food Scrap Composting	All		Race		Ethnicity		Owner/Renter		Age	
	All	Wtd	W	NW	H	NH	O	R	<65	≥65
Not interested	6.5	8.2	4.4	10.3	15.6	5.4	6.3	9.1	6.7	6.9
Not enough information	10.0	9.4	6.0	16.7	17.8	9.1	10.0	10.9	12.6	1.4
Lack of time	5.6	7.3	3.2	11.1	2.2	6.0	5.2	7.3	7.4	0.0
Lack of resources	2.8	2.0	2.0	4.8	6.7	2.3	2.9	1.8	3.4	0.0
It is not available	5.8	5.8	4.8	8.7	13.3	4.9	4.6	12.7	6.4	2.8
Frequency of pickup/drop-off	6.0	5.3	6.0	6.3	11.1	5.4	6.6	3.6	7.1	1.4
No barriers	58.0	49.6	73.6	38.1	37.8	60.4	65.0	36.4	57.4	77.8
Other	4.4	6.0	4.0	5.6	11.1	3.6	4.6	5.5	4.3	6.9

Note: Values are percentages of affirmative answers, respondents can choose more than one option.

Table 7: Limitations to participate in Food Scrap Composting

Electronics Recycling	All		Race		Ethnicity		Owner/Renter		Age	
	All	Wtd	W	NW	H	NH	O	R	<65	≥65
Not interested	3.0	3.6	1.2	5.6	4.4	2.8	2.9	3.6	3.4	1.4
Not enough information	25.5	24.7	25.2	29.4	33.3	24.6	25.8	29.1	31.3	8.3
Lack of time	10.0	8.4	12.4	7.9	2.2	10.9	10.6	9.1	12.9	0.0
Lack of resources	8.4	10.1	6.0	15.1	17.8	7.3	8.0	10.9	9.5	5.6
It is not available	9.5	9.7	8.8	10.3	11.1	9.3	8.9	16.4	9.2	9.7
Frequency of pickup/drop-off	25.3	20.8	32.0	16.7	20.0	25.9	28.9	12.7	27.3	25.0
No barriers	22.3	21.9	27.6	16.7	24.4	22.0	24.9	14.5	19.3	43.1
Other	5.3	9.5	4.0	7.1	4.4	5.4	5.2	7.3	5.5	5.6

Note: Values are percentages of affirmative answers, respondents can choose more than one option.

Table 8: Limitations to participate in Electronics Recycling

Yard Waste Disposal	All		Race		Ethnicity		Owner/Renter		Age	
	All	Wtd	W	NW	H	NH	O	R	<65	≥65
Not interested	4.6	4.9	3.6	5.6	6.7	4.4	3.4	12.7	5.8	0.0
Not enough information	5.8	7.0	4.0	8.7	13.3	4.9	5.2	5.5	7.1	1.4
Lack of time	4.4	5.9	3.2	6.3	2.2	4.7	4.0	7.3	5.5	1.4
Lack of resources	4.2	1.7	4.0	4.0	2.2	4.4	3.7	7.3	4.9	1.4
It is not available	2.1	1.8	2.0	2.4	2.2	2.1	2.3	1.8	2.5	0.0
Frequency of pickup/drop-off	6.7	6.9	8.4	5.6	4.4	7.0	7.7	1.8	8.0	2.8
No barriers	63.8	56.9	74.0	53.2	66.7	63.5	71.1	43.6	62.6	86.1
Other	2.6	3.7	2.8	3.2	4.4	2.3	2.0	5.5	2.5	4.2

Note: Values are percentages of affirmative answers, respondents can choose more than one option.

Table 9: Limitations to participate in Yard Waste Disposal

Question 5: How easy is it for you to get from your home to the places you need to go, such as grocery stores, work, or medical care?

Difficulty level	All		Race		Ethnicity		Owner/Renter		Age	
	All	Wtd	W	NW	H	NH	O	R	<65	≥65
Very difficult (1)	3.1	5.6	0.8	6.4	4.4	3.0	2.6	5.5	3.1	0.0
Somewhat difficult (2)	7.0	11.2	4.0	10.4	6.7	7.0	4.9	10.9	7.1	1.4
Somewhat easy (3)	34.8	29.1	35.2	31.2	31.1	35.2	33.2	41.8	38.2	20.8
Very easy (4)	55.2	54.1	60.0	52.0	57.8	54.8	59.3	41.8	51.7	77.8
Mean	3.4	3.3	3.5	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.2	3.4	3.8

Note: Values are percentages of affirmative answers.

Mean of responses are mean of numeric observation ("Very difficult" is 1 and "Very easy" is 4).

Table 10: Level of difficulty of transportation

Question 6: What are the main ways you usually travel to meet your daily needs, such as getting to work, school, or buying groceries, etc.?

Mode of transportation	All		Race		Ethnicity		Owner/Renter		Age	
	All	Wtd	W	NW	H	NH	O	R	<65	≥65
Walk	48.3	42.4	58.4	38.9	42.2	49.0	48.4	60.0	52.5	40.3
Bicycle or scooter	25.5	19.7	32.4	15.1	11.1	27.2	26.4	27.3	30.1	8.3
Personal car or motorcycle	81.0	79.3	84.0	83.3	84.4	80.6	85.1	78.2	83.7	84.7
Public transit	35.3	43.3	36.4	39.7	37.8	35.0	36.1	38.2	39.3	29.2
Rideshare/taxi/carpool	8.1	7.1	6.8	11.1	17.8	7.0	8.3	7.3	9.5	4.2
Other	2.1	2.5	1.2	3.2	6.7	1.6	2.0	1.8	1.8	2.8

Note: Values are percentages of affirmative answers, respondents can choose more than one option.

Table 11: Modes of transport

Question 6.a): Which of the following factors would encourage you to drive less often?

Incentive	All		Race		Ethnicity		Owner/Renter		Age	
	All	Wtd	W	NW	H	NH	O	R	<65	≥65
More accessible public transit	27.6	21.3	29.6	22.2	24.4	28.0	27.2	34.5	30.7	15.3
Safer bike lanes and pedestrian paths	39.4	29.6	46.8	31.0	31.1	40.4	40.1	47.3	44.8	20.8
More connected bike lanes and pedestrian paths	36.0	26.7	43.2	27.0	26.7	37.0	36.4	43.6	40.5	20.8
Lower cost public transit passes	14.8	17.5	8.8	25.4	28.9	13.2	13.8	25.5	17.5	6.9
Increased availability of carpooling or ride-sharing options	5.6	7.7	3.6	10.3	8.9	5.2	5.2	7.3	6.4	2.8
Access to a non-electric (classic) ride-sharing bicycle or scooter	7.2	7.7	6.0	8.7	4.4	7.5	6.0	14.5	8.6	0.0
Access to a ride-sharing electric bicycle or scooter	11.1	8.3	11.6	11.9	13.3	10.9	11.5	10.9	14.1	0.0
Ownership of a personal electric bicycle or scooter	18.1	17.5	18.0	20.6	17.8	18.1	19.8	12.7	20.6	8.3
Ownership of a personal non-electric bicycle or scooter	4.9	5.1	4.4	6.3	2.2	5.2	3.7	12.7	5.5	2.8
Other	9.5	7.6	9.6	10.3	8.9	9.6	10.0	7.3	10.4	6.9

Note: Values are percentages of affirmative answers, respondents can choose more than one option.

Table 12: Incentives to drive less

Question 7: How safe do you generally feel while doing the following activities in Hyattsville?

Activity	All		Race		Ethnicity		Owner/Renter		Age	
	All	Wtd	W	NW	H	NH	O	R	<65	≥65
Walking	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.6
Biking	2.1	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.3
Scotering	1.9	2.1	1.8	2.1	2.3	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.0	1.8
Using public transportation	2.4	2.3	2.5	2.2	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.6
Driving	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.5
Going to the park	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.7
Using the food forest	2.4	2.2	2.5	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.6	2.4	2.5

Note: Mean value of response, which go from Not safe at all (1) to Very safe (3).

Table 13: Level of safety

Resident priorities and preferences

Question 10: Energy-efficient housing upgrades are home improvements designed to reduce energy consumption, lower utility bills, and improve comfort and air quality. How interested are you in upgrading your home to be more energy-efficient?

Interest level	All		Race		Ethnicity		Owner/Renter		Age	
	All	Wtd	W	NW	H	NH	O	R	<65	≥65
Not at all interested (1)	7.7	10.3	7.2	9.6	6.7	7.9	7.7	7.3	6.8	13.9
Somewhat interested (2)	30.8	29.6	34.0	27.2	26.7	31.3	32.1	23.6	28.9	43.1
Very interested (3)	56.2	53.8	55.6	55.2	60.0	55.7	57.3	49.1	59.4	37.5
Not sure	5.3	6.3	3.2	8.0	6.7	5.2	2.9	20.0	4.9	5.6
Mean	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.3

Note: Values are percentages of affirmative answers.

Mean represents the average response of all respondents. Answers were coded as "Very interested" (1) to Not at all interested (3).

Table 14: Interest in Energy-efficient housing upgrades

Question 11: Weather-resilient housing upgrades are home modifications designed to increase a property's ability to withstand extreme weather events like hurricanes, floods, and heatwaves. How interested are you in upgrading your home to be more weather-resilient?

Interest level	All		Race		Ethnicity		Owner/Renter		Age	
	All	Wtd	W	NW	H	NH	O	R	<65	≥65
Not at all interested (1)	9.3	11.6	8.4	11.4	13.3	8.8	8.1	15.1	8.4	15.3
Somewhat interested (2)	33.1	28.5	36.9	26.8	24.4	34.2	34.7	24.5	32.9	36.1
Very interested (3)	51.0	52.3	49.4	53.7	53.3	50.7	51.4	49.1	52.8	40.3
Not sure	6.6	7.7	5.2	8.1	8.9	6.3	5.8	11.3	5.9	8.3
Mean	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.3

Note: Values are percentages of affirmative answers.

Mean represents the average response of all respondents. Answers were coded as "Very interested" (1) to Not at all interested (3).

Table 15: Interest in Weather-resilient housing upgrades

Question 12

Question 12a: For each of the following home upgrades, what type of support from the City would be most helpful to you? (Homeowners Only)

Insulation & weatherization	All	Race		Ethnicity		Age	
	All	W	NW	H	NH	<65	≥65
Financial assistance	52.4	51.5	54.2	52.8	52.4	56.1	41.4
Information and guidance	45.3	45.4	43.8	52.8	44.4	49.1	31.4
Streamlined permitting	32.4	34.5	26.0	27.8	32.9	36.2	18.6
Already done	17.5	17.0	20.8	16.7	17.6	17.0	20.0
Not interested	8.6	7.9	10.4	13.9	8.0	7.0	15.7

Note: Values are percentages of affirmative answers, respondents can choose more than one option.

Table 16: City support for installing Insulation & weatherization

Energy-efficient appliances/windows/doors	All	Race		Ethnicity		Age	
	All	W	NW	H	NH	<65	≥65
Financial assistance	51.3	50.7	52.1	47.2	51.8	56.5	34.3
Information and guidance	39.8	40.6	38.5	50.0	38.7	42.4	31.4
Streamlined permitting	25.2	24.9	22.9	22.2	25.6	29.2	10.0
Already done	18.9	20.5	17.7	22.2	18.5	18.8	20.0
Not interested	7.7	7.0	7.3	8.3	7.7	6.3	12.9

Note: Values are percentages of affirmative answers, respondents can choose more than one option.

Table 17: City support for installing Energy-efficient appliances/windows/doors

Heat pumps	All	Race		Ethnicity		Age	
	All	W	NW	H	NH	<65	≥65
Financial assistance	43.0	39.3	52.1	38.9	43.5	48.0	24.3
Information and guidance	38.7	38.0	38.5	36.1	39.0	42.8	22.9
Streamlined permitting	22.3	22.3	19.8	16.7	23.0	25.1	10.0
Already done	15.8	19.7	10.4	13.9	16.0	17.3	11.4
Not interested	18.9	20.1	14.6	22.2	18.5	14.4	38.6

Note: Values are percentages of affirmative answers, respondents can choose more than one option.

Table 18: City support for installing Heat pumps

Solar panels	All	Race		Ethnicity		Age	
	All	W	NW	H	NH	<65	≥65
Financial assistance	48.4	48.9	50.0	47.2	48.6	53.5	30.0
Information and guidance	40.1	42.4	35.4	41.7	39.9	42.4	34.3
Streamlined permitting	28.4	31.0	21.9	30.6	28.1	33.2	10.0
Already done	12.0	13.5	10.4	8.3	12.5	12.5	10.0
Not interested	18.3	15.7	21.9	30.6	16.9	16.2	28.6

Note: Values are percentages of affirmative answers, respondents can choose more than one option.

Table 19: City support for installing Solar panels

Rain gardens / stormwater management	All	Race		Ethnicity		Age	
	All	W	NW	H	NH	<65	≥65
Financial assistance	40.1	40.6	41.7	33.3	40.9	45.4	22.9
Information and guidance	52.1	56.3	40.6	38.9	53.7	53.5	48.6
Streamlined permitting	24.9	26.6	19.8	19.4	25.6	28.4	11.4
Already done	11.7	12.2	12.5	13.9	11.5	11.8	11.4
Not interested	11.5	8.7	15.6	25.0	9.9	10.0	18.6

Note: Values are percentages of affirmative answers, respondents can choose more than one option.

Table 20: City support for installing Rain gardens / stormwater management

Hail resistant exteriors and roofing	All	Race		Ethnicity		Age	
	All	W	NW	H	NH	<65	≥65
Financial assistance	37.0	34.1	45.8	36.1	37.1	41.3	21.4
Information and guidance	42.7	42.4	41.7	50.0	41.9	43.2	41.4
Streamlined permitting	20.9	21.0	19.8	25.0	20.4	24.4	7.1
Already done	6.0	5.7	7.3	5.6	6.1	5.9	7.1
Not interested	25.2	29.3	17.7	16.7	26.2	23.2	35.7

Note: Values are percentages of affirmative answers, respondents can choose more than one option.

Table 21: City support for installing Hail resistant exteriors and roofing

Question 12b: Which of the following barriers limits your ability to upgrade your home? (Renters only)

Limitations	All		Race	
	All	Wtd	W	NW
Landlord/property management does not allow upgrades	50.9	57.8	52.6	55.6
The cost of upgrades would increase the rent	41.8	52.4	26.3	55.6
Lack of City programs targeted at renters	32.7	25.2	21.1	29.6
Lack of information on renter-friendly options	49.1	49.7	42.1	48.1
None of the above	3.6	8.1	0.0	7.4
Other	3.6	0.8	5.3	3.7

Note: Values are percentages of affirmative answers, respondents can choose more than one option. Some cross-tabulations for this question are not shown due to small sample sizes in some subgroups.

Table 22: Limitations to upgrade home

Question 13: A "resilience hub" is a community space such as a library or recreation center that is equipped to provide power, cooling, or emergency support during disasters. Do you know where your closest resilience hub is?

Answers	All		Race		Ethnicity		Owner/Renter		Age	
	All	Wtd	W	NW	H	NH	O	R	<65	≥65
Yes	28.1	31.6	29.2	27.2	33.3	27.5	29.2	22.6	24.6	41.7
No	56.5	54.6	54.4	60.0	51.1	57.1	55.2	67.9	60.0	43.1
Not sure	15.4	13.8	16.4	12.8	15.6	15.4	15.6	9.4	15.4	15.3

Note: Values are percentages of affirmative answers.

Table 23: Knowledge of resilience hub location

Question 14: In a weather-related emergency such as an extended power outage or flood, where would you go first to find information about where you can get help or shelter?

Source of information	All		Race		Ethnicity		Owner/Renter		Age	
	All	Wtd	W	NW	H	NH	O	R	<65	≥65
Social Media	26.0	27.9	20.8	37.4	46.7	23.5	24.3	39.6	29.9	9.9
Local government website	39.8	42	43.6	35.0	28.9	41.2	41.4	30.2	39.2	43.7
A City resilience hub	4.2	7.5	2.8	6.5	4.4	4.1	4.1	3.8	4.0	4.2
Neighbors	20.4	12.3	24.0	10.6	8.9	21.8	20.6	20.8	18.8	25.4
Other	9.6	10.3	8.8	10.6	11.1	9.4	9.6	5.7	8.0	16.9

Note: Values are percentages of affirmative answers.

Table 24: Source of information in emergencies

Question 15: Thinking about your experience in Hyattsville, how satisfied are you with each of these city services?

City service	Category	All		Race		Ethnicity		Owner/Renter		Age	
		All	Wtd	W	NW	H	NH	O	R	<65	≥65
Curbside recycling and waste management programs	Satisfied	87.2	83.4	89.6	82.0	81.8	87.8	89.3	75.0	85.0	95.8
	Unsatisfied	5.7	7.1	5.6	7.4	6.8	5.5	4.9	11.5	6.5	2.8
	Unsure	7.2	9.4	4.8	10.7	11.4	6.6	5.8	13.5	8.4	1.4
Tree preservation, planting, and green space protection	Satisfied	65.6	70.0	66.5	65.3	60.0	66.3	68.1	51.0	63.0	76.4
	Unsatisfied	14.2	9.2	15.7	10.7	13.3	14.3	13.3	16.3	15.7	6.9
	Unsure	20.2	20.8	17.7	24.0	26.7	19.4	18.6	32.7	21.3	16.7
Bike lanes, paths, and sidewalks	Satisfied	53.3	62.6	51.6	60.0	62.2	52.1	52.3	65.3	52.5	56.9
	Unsatisfied	30.5	22.7	31.5	25.8	31.1	30.4	30.5	24.5	32.7	18.1
	Unsure	16.3	14.7	16.9	14.2	6.7	17.5	17.2	10.2	14.8	25.0
Stormwater management and flood prevention	Satisfied	37.8	38.9	38.7	36.1	35.6	38.1	38.5	34.7	36.0	44.4
	Unsatisfied	26.3	23.6	27.8	23.5	24.4	26.6	26.5	22.4	29.7	12.5
	Unsure	35.8	37.4	33.5	40.3	40.0	35.3	35.0	42.9	34.4	43.1
Environmental education and community outreach	Satisfied	45.4	49.2	49.2	41.2	44.4	45.5	45.1	50.0	46.7	38.9
	Unsatisfied	17.8	18.7	14.1	25.2	22.2	17.2	16.9	16.7	18.9	11.1
	Unsure	36.8	32.1	36.7	33.6	33.3	37.3	38.1	33.3	34.4	50.0
Energy efficiency programs and building standards	Satisfied	26.7	27.5	28.1	25.6	34.1	25.8	25.8	31.3	27.5	22.5
	Unsatisfied	20.9	21.9	19.3	25.6	20.5	21.0	21.4	16.7	22.2	14.1
	Unsure	52.4	50.5	52.6	48.7	45.5	53.3	52.8	52.1	50.3	63.4
Food forest and community agriculture	Satisfied	37.7	35.5	42.1	31.9	33.3	38.2	36.4	45.8	38.0	31.9
	Unsatisfied	9.8	10.7	8.5	13.4	15.6	9.1	10.5	4.2	11.7	1.4
	Unsure	52.5	53.8	49.4	54.6	51.1	52.7	53.1	50.0	50.3	66.7
Public participation in environmental planning	Satisfied	33.4	38.1	32.7	35.5	44.4	32.0	32.8	34.7	33.5	30.6
	Unsatisfied	14.7	15.6	15.3	14.9	11.1	15.2	14.8	12.2	16.0	9.7
	Unsure	51.9	46.3	52.0	49.6	44.4	52.8	52.5	53.1	50.5	59.7
Climate action and sustainability planning	Satisfied	29.3	31.6	30.2	29.2	40.0	27.9	29.1	30.6	30.8	23.6
	Unsatisfied	14.2	17.0	12.9	18.3	17.8	13.8	14.2	12.2	14.2	12.5
	Unsure	56.5	51.3	56.9	52.5	42.2	58.3	56.7	57.1	55.0	63.9

Notes: Values are percentages.

Table 25: Satisfaction level with city services

City service	Satisfied – Unsatisfied	Unsure
Curbside recycling and waste management programs	81.5	7.2
Tree preservation, planting, and green space protection	51.4	20.2
Bike lanes, paths, and sidewalks	22.8	16.3
Stormwater management and flood prevention	11.5	35.8
Environmental education and community outreach	27.6	36.8
Energy efficiency programs and building standards	5.8	52.4
Food forest and community agriculture	27.9	52.5
Public participation in environmental planning	18.7	51.9
Climate action and sustainability planning	15.1	56.5

Table 26: Difference between Satisfied and Unsatisfied Responses (All Respondents)

Question 16: Imagine you had \$100 to spend on the following programs. How would you distribute the money?

Policy	All		Race		Ethnicity		Owner/Renter		Age	
	All	Wtd	W	NW	H	NH	O	R	<65	≥65
Providing public electric vehicle charging stations	9.2	8.9	9.2	10.1	10.1	9.1	9.0	9.4	9.8	7.1
Assisting homeowners and landlords upgrading their residences to be more resilient to floods and other climate related issues	30.4	32.2	28.9	32.4	31.6	30.3	31.3	26.3	29.1	37.0
Providing information services to residents to help them be more climate-friendly in their daily lives	11.5	12.3	11.0	13.2	12.3	11.4	11.4	11.8	10.3	17.5
Expanding compost and recycling programs	12.7	12.7	12.0	13.7	11.7	12.8	12.5	13.9	12.9	12.1
Increasing the number of pedestrian and bicycle lanes/paths	24.7	21.3	27.7	19.2	22.1	25.0	24.5	26.1	26.8	13.5
Purchasing new electric city vehicles to replace city vehicles that currently run on gasoline	11.5	12.7	11.2	11.3	12.1	11.4	11.2	12.4	11.0	12.8

Note: Values are average dollar amounts.

Table 27: Budget allocation

Question 17: As Hyattsville grows, new buildings can be in the form of single-family homes such as detached homes and townhouses, or the City can encourage denser housing such as apartments, and other multi-family buildings. Which would you prefer the town to encourage through its housing regulations and building code?

Growth form	All		Race		Ethnicity		Owner/Renter		Age	
	All	Wtd	W	NW	H	NH	O	R	<65	≥65
Primarily single-family homes	29.3	33.6	21.7	42.7	51.1	26.5	31.3	19.6	26.9	42.3
A mix of single-family homes and multi-family apartment buildings	60.3	56.4	67.1	48.4	42.2	62.5	59.1	62.7	60.8	56.3
Primarily multi-family/apartment buildings	10.5	10.1	11.2	8.9	6.7	11.0	9.6	17.6	12.3	1.4

Note: Values are percentages.

Table 28: Growth preference

Question 18: In your view, which should Hyattsville prioritize in its policies that regulate home and business building and renovation?

Priority	All		Race		Ethnicity		Owner/Renter		Age	
	All	Wtd	W	NW	H	NH	O	R	<65	≥65
Maximizing environmental protection	15.5	15.3	16.4	13.6	13.3	15.7	14.6	21.2	16.3	8.5
Minimizing costs to property owners and renters	20.9	24.5	16.0	28.8	22.2	20.8	21.1	21.2	22.2	16.9
Balancing both environmental and cost concerns	63.6	60.2	67.6	57.6	64.4	63.5	64.3	57.7	61.5	74.6

Note: Values are percentages.

Table 29: Priorities for home and business building and renovation regulations

Question 19: How much influence do you feel you have on environmental decisions made by the Hyattsville City government?

Level of influence	All		Race		Ethnicity		Owner/Renter		Age	
	All	Wtd	W	NW	H	NH	O	R	<65	≥65
No influence at all (1)	26.6	27.6	22.4	31.0	33.3	25.7	25.7	30.8	25.2	30.6
A little influence (2)	35.2	32.7	39.6	28.6	33.3	35.5	36.7	26.9	35.0	36.1
Some influence (3)	20.6	21.9	22.0	20.6	17.8	20.9	21.0	19.2	21.5	18.1
A great deal of influence (4)	5.5	8.3	4.4	8.7	6.7	5.3	5.0	7.7	6.7	0.0
Do not know	12.2	9.6	11.6	11.1	8.9	12.6	11.7	15.4	11.7	15.3
Mean	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.1	1.9

Note: Values are percentages of affirmative answers.

Mean represents the average response of all respondents. Answers were coded as "No influence at all" (1) to "A great deal of influence" (4).

Table 30: Perception of influence

Question 20: How much do you think your household actions and behavior contributes to climate change?

Level of contribution	All		Race		Ethnicity		Owner/Renter		Age	
	All	Wtd	W	NW	H	NH	O	R	<65	≥65
Not at all (1)	8.2	11.2	5.2	11.9	8.9	8.1	6.4	15.4	8.6	7.0
A little (2)	40.5	35.5	42.4	36.5	40.0	40.6	41.8	36.5	40.2	42.3
Somewhat (3)	33.6	28.5	39.2	23.8	24.4	34.7	33.6	34.6	31.3	45.1
A lot (4)	17.7	24.9	13.2	27.8	26.7	16.5	18.1	13.5	19.9	5.6
Mean	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.5

Note: Values are percentages of affirmative answers.

Mean represents the average response of all respondents. Answers were coded as "Not at all" (1) to "A lot" (4).

Table 31: Perception of contribution to climate change